

Original Paper

Impact of the World Inflammatory Bowel Disease Day and Crohn's and Colitis Awareness Week on Population Interest Between 2016 and 2020: Google Trends Analysis

Krixie Silangcruz¹, MBA, MD; Yoshito Nishimura^{1,2}, MD, MPH, PhD; Torrey Czech¹, MD; Nobuhiko Kimura¹, MD; Hideharu Hagiya², MD, PhD; Toshihiro Koyama², PhD; Fumio Otsuka², MD, PhD

¹University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, United States

²Okayama University, Okayama, Japan

Corresponding Author:

Yoshito Nishimura, MD, MPH, PhD

University of Hawaii

1356 Lusitana St

Honolulu, HI

United States

Phone: 1 808 586 2910

Email: nishimura-yoshito@okayama-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Background: More than 6 million people are affected by inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) globally. The World IBD Day (WID, May 19) and Crohn's and Colitis Awareness Week (CCAW, December 1-7) occur yearly as national health observances to raise public awareness of IBD, but their effects are unclear.

Objective: The aim of this study was to analyze the relationship between WID or CCAW and the public health awareness on IBD represented by the Google search engine query data.

Methods: This study evaluates the impact of WID and CCAW on the public awareness of IBD in the United States and worldwide from 2016 to 2020 by using the relative search volume of "IBD," "ulcerative colitis," and "Crohn's disease" in Google Trends. To identify significant time points of trend changes (joinpoints), we performed joinpoint regression analysis.

Results: No joinpoints were noted around the time of WID or CCAW during the study period in the search results of the United States. Worldwide, joinpoints were noted around WID in 2020 with the search for "IBD" and around CCAW in 2017 and 2019 with the search for "ulcerative colitis." However, the extents of trend changes were modest without statistically significant increases.

Conclusions: These results posed a question that WID and CCAW might not have worked as expected to raise public awareness of IBD. Additional studies are needed to precisely estimate the impact of health observances to raise the awareness of IBD.

(*JMIR Infodemiology* 2021;1(1):e32856) doi: [10.2196/32856](https://doi.org/10.2196/32856)

KEYWORDS

inflammatory bowel disease; ulcerative colitis; Crohn disease; google trends; trend analysis; online health information; awareness; chronic disease; gastrointestinal; trend; impact; public health; United States

Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a global disease with an increasing prevalence in newly industrialized countries, and rising cases have been documented in every continent [1]. Recent systematic reviews have demonstrated that IBD is increasing in such countries [2]. Globally in 2017, there were 6.8 million cases of IBD with an increased age-standardized prevalence rate from 1990 to 2017 [2]. Within the United States,

it was estimated that more than a million adult Americans had IBD [3,4].

Research into IBD, however, is largely underrepresented despite its prevalence owing to the multifactorial nature of the disease [5]. Global efforts have been made to raise the awareness of IBD. In 2010, the World IBD Day (WID) was created by the European Federation of Crohn's and Ulcerative Colitis Association and patient organizations to increase IBD awareness and to provide education about IBD to the public [6,7].

Similarly, Crohn's and Colitis Awareness Week (CCAW) was created by a US Senate resolution in 2011, with goals of encouraging all people in the United States to engage in activities aimed at raising awareness of IBD among the general public [8].

Disease awareness and health promotion campaigns are created to increase public health education, and awareness, and ultimately change behavior [9]. Approximately 200 health awareness days, weeks, or months are on the US National Health Observances calendar [10], and nearly 70% of these health awareness occasions have been introduced after 2005. Despite the increasing number of awareness initiatives, there is a lack of data regarding evidence of their effectiveness and impact [11]. This lack of data highlights the need for greater evaluation and quantifiable metrics to determine the impact of health behaviors on a global scale.

Because web-based searches are a predominant source of access to health awareness-related information, internet searches are a reflection of engagement between the public and resources, which increase disease awareness. Searches are individual proxies for public disease awareness and provide insight into the effect of dissemination of information via global public health days and weeks. Google Trends (GT) is a novel, open-source, freely accessible resource that allows researchers to analyze Google search query data [12]. An analysis regarding the efficacy and public health behaviors that resulted from IBD awareness initiatives has not been done previously. We aimed to perform a hypothesis generation if the WID or CCAW effectively increased the public health awareness for IBD through GT data by using joinpoint trend analysis.

Methods

Data Source

GT is a data source generated from the total Google search data [13]. These data are available to the public, and GT has been used in multiple social, public health, or global health research to dig into the public attention [14-25]. Surrogate of the public attention in GT is the relative popularity of specific search terms or topics in a certain category (eg, health), place, and time range. The relative popularity is defined as a relative search volume (RSV) with a scale of 0-100 (0 being the lowest popularity) [14,19-21]. The RSV correlates with how popular the terms are at a certain time point.

Search Input

We followed protocols noted by previous studies [17,19,21]. Briefly, we accessed data between July 11 and 13, 2021, and chose [Inflammatory bowel disease], [Ulcerative colitis], and

[Crohn's disease] as search inputs. The location of the search included United States and worldwide.

Search Variables

To specifically obtain the popularity of the disease-related search inputs, all searches were done with a "disease" option in the Health category (with a "disease" option, search volumes of subtopics or relevant themes are included). We chose each full year from 2016 to 2020 as search scales to visualize weekly trends of the RSVs (each year contains 52 or 53 weeks; the WID occurred in the 20th week of 2016-2019 and in the 19th week of 2020; CCAW occurred in the 48th-49th week in 2016-2019 and in the 49th-50th week in 2020).

Statistical Analyses

We used a joinpoint regression model with the Joinpoint Regression Program version 4.9.0.0, March 2021 [26] to analyze the RSV data and their time trend. This software enables us to identify time points called joinpoints, where a temporal trend significantly changes. We defined the analysis criteria to look for up to 3 joinpoints. The weekly percentage changes between trend change points were determined with 95% CIs. The threshold for statistical significance was defined as a *P* value <.05, suggesting the level at which the slope differed from zero.

Ethical Considerations

The publicly available data published by GT are utilized in the project [13]. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Okayama University Hospital with a waiver for informed consent since the study intended to retrospectively analyze open data (1910-009). All research methods were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Results

Trends in the Search Volume of Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Table 1 and Figure 1 describe the trends and trend changes of the weekly RSVs for "inflammatory bowel disease" in each full year from 2016 to 2020. With respect to the search results in the United States, no joinpoints were observed throughout the period. Regarding the search results worldwide, there was a joinpoint at the 45th week in 2019 before which a significant increase in the weekly percentage change of 0.2% (95% CI 0.1-0.4) was observed. In 2020, a joinpoint was noted in the 17th week (3 weeks before WID), after which there was a significant weekly increase in the RSV by 0.3% (*P*<.001). Further, the third joinpoint was observed in the 48th week (a week prior to CCAW). However, no joinpoints were noted from 2015 to 2018 around the time of WID or CCAW.

Table 1. Trend changes in the relative search volumes of inflammatory bowel disease in 2016-2020.^a


Country, year	Period 1		Period 2		Period 3		Period 4	
	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)
United States, 2016	1-52	-0.1 (-0.4 to 0.2)	N/A ^b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2017	1-53	-0.2 (-0.5 to 0.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2018	1-52	-0.2 (-0.5 to 0.2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2019	1-52	-0.3 ^c (-0.6 to 0)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2020	1-52	0.1 (-0.2 to 0.4)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2016	1-52	0 (-0.2 to 0.2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2017	1-53	0 (-0.2 to 0.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2018	1-52	0 (-0.2 to 0.2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2019	1-45	0.2 ^c (0.1 to 0.4)	45-52	-2.6 (-5.4 to 0.3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2020	1-13	-2.5 ^c (-3.6 to -1.4)	13-17	4.6 (-4.9 to 15.0)	17-48	0.3 ^c (0 to 0.6)	48-52	-5.4 (-10.9 to 0.5)

^aPeriods were separated as Period 1-4, when the trend changes were statistically detected in the joinpoint regression analysis during the study period.

^bN/A: not applicable.

^cSignificantly different from zero ($P < .05$).

Figure 1. Trends in the relative search volume of inflammatory bowel disease during 2016-2020. Weekly relative search volumes for the search term “inflammatory bowel disease” are described. World Inflammatory Bowel Disease day occurred in the 20th week of 2016-2019 and the 19th week of 2020; Crohn’s & Colitis Awareness Week occurred in the 48th to 49th week in 2016-2019 and the 49th to 50th week in 2020. The number of slopes is determined by the number of joinpoints identified by the analysis. Joinpoints are the time points when statistically significant changes in the linear slopes are noted. RSV: relative search volume.



Trends in the Search Volume of Ulcerative Colitis

Table 2 and Figure 2 describe the trends and trend changes of the weekly RSVs for ulcerative colitis in the designated period. In the search results of the United States and worldwide, a big surge was observed in the 3rd week in 2016. In 2020, a joinpoint

was noted in the 16th week (4 weeks before WID), after which a nonstatistically significant but considerable weekly RSV increase by 3.7% ($P < .001$) was observed until the 24th week. For worldwide results, there was a prominent joinpoint in the 49th week (CCA) in 2017. No other joinpoints were observed around the time of WID or CCA in 2016 or 2018 to 2020.

Table 2. Trend changes in the relative search volumes of ulcerative colitis in 2016-2020.^a


Country, year	Period 1		Period 2		Period 3	
	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)
United States, 2016	1-3	80.2 ^b (40.1 to 131.8)	3-6	-19.5 (-37.4 to 3.6)	6-52	-0.2 ^b (-0.4 to 0)
United States, 2017	1-53	0.2 (0 to 0.4)	N/A ^c	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2018	1-52	0.1 (-0.1 to 0.3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2019	1-52	-0.3 ^b (-0.4 to -0.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2020	1-16	-2.8 ^b (-4.0 to -1.6)	16-24	3.7 (-0.3 to 7.8)	24-52	-0.5 (-0.9 to 0)
Worldwide, 2016	1-52	-0.3 ^b (-0.6 to -0.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2017	1-46	0.1 (0 to 0.2)	46-49	5.7 (-6.5 to 19.6)	49-53	-7.9 ^b (-11.5 to -4.3)
Worldwide, 2018	1-52	0 (-0.1 to 0.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2019	1-46	0 (-0.1 to 0.1)	46-52	-2.1 (-4.5 to 0.3)	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2020	1-52	0 (-0.4 to 0.3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^aPeriods were separated as Period 1-4, when the trend changes were statistically detected in the joinpoint regression analysis during the study period.

^bSignificantly different from zero ($P < .05$).

^cN/A: not applicable.

Figure 2. Trends in the relative search volume of ulcerative colitis during 2016-2020. Weekly relative search volumes for the search term “ulcerative colitis.” Except for the 16th week (4 weeks before World Inflammatory Bowel Disease Day) in the United States and the 49th week (Crohn’s and Colitis Awareness Week) worldwide in 2020, no other joinpoints were noted around the time of World Inflammatory Bowel Disease Day or Crohn’s and Colitis Awareness Week during the designated period. RSV: relative search volume.



Trends in the Search Volume of Crohn disease

Table 3 and Figure 3 describe the trends and trend changes in the weekly RSVs for Crohn disease in the designated period. Between 2017 and 2019, there was no remarkable trend change in both the United States and worldwide. In 2020, joinpoints

were observed in the 8th week, the 16th week, and the 24th week in the United States. For worldwide, joinpoints were observed in the 10th week, the 14th week, and the 24th week. However, there were no joinpoints around the time of WID or CCAW throughout the period.

Table 3. Trend changes in the relative search volumes of Crohn disease during 2016-2020.^a


Country, year	Period 1		Period 2		Period 3		Period 4	
	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)	Weeks	Weekly percentage change (%) (95% CI)
United States, 2016	1-52	0.1 (-0.1 to 0.3)	N/A ^b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2017	1-53	0.1 (-0.1 to 0.2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2018	1-52	0.1 (-0.2 to 0.4)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2019	1-39	0 (-0.3 to 0.2)	39-52	-1.5 ^c (-2.8 to -0.3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States, 2020	1-8	2.3 (-0.4 to 5.1)	8-16	-4.5 ^c (-7.0 to -1.9)	16-24	2.9 ^c (0.2 to 5.7)	24-52	0.1 (-0.3 to 0.4)
Worldwide, 2016	1-52	0 (-0.1 to 0.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2017	1-53	0 (-0.1 to 0.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2018	1-52	-0.1 (-0.3 to 0.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2019	1-52	-0.2 (-0.3 to -0.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Worldwide, 2020	1-10	2.2 ^c (0.6 to 3.8)	10-14	-8.7 ^c (-16.4 to -0.4)	14-24	1.2 (-0.4 to 2.8)	24-52	-0.2 (-0.5 to 0.1)

^aPeriods were separated as Period 1-4, when the trend changes were statistically detected in the joinpoint regression analysis during the study period.

^bN/A: not applicable.

^cSignificantly different from zero ($P < .05$).

Figure 3. Trends in the relative search volume of Crohn disease during 2016-2020. Weekly relative search volumes for the search term “Crohn’s disease.” No joinpoints were noted around the time of World Inflammatory Bowel Disease Day or Crohn’s and Colitis Awareness Week throughout the period. RSV: relative search volume.



Discussion

This study evaluated how the global campaigns for promoting IBD, such as WID and CCAW, affected public awareness by using the RSVs of GT data as a surrogate. Although there were several significant joinpoints for IBD, Crohn disease, and ulcerative colitis, overall, the results in this study posed a hypothesis that WID and CCAW might not have affected the public interest in the United States and worldwide. Rather, the RSVs seem to have been affected by timely topics. For example,

in the 3rd week of 2016, when there was a significant increase in the RSV of ulcerative colitis in the United States, a famous US singer-songwriter reportedly passed away due to the disease. In March 2020, when significant trend changes were observed in the United States and worldwide, a well-known American comedian revealed that he had Crohn disease. Similarly, when the same comedian was featured in a film about a man who has Crohn disease in June 2020, there were trend changes both in the United States and worldwide (24th week). Only in 2017 worldwide, considerable trend changes in the RSVs for

ulcerative colitis were noted around CCAW, although the weekly percentage change was not statistically significant. Given the rapid increase in the global prevalence of IBD with increasing health care costs, raising public awareness of IBD is a pressing global health issue. While one would think that people may be more aware of IBD, given the rising number of IBD cases worldwide, more efforts are needed to rigorously evaluate if public awareness of IBD has trended up or not.

Since 2020, the dramatic challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic have greatly affected our lives, which might have affected the public interests for IBD as well. Because immunocompromised patients may be vulnerable to COVID-19, there were concerns about whether patients with IBD might be more susceptible to COVID-19 and have worse outcomes [27]. In a cross-sectional questionnaire, patients with IBD were apprehensive about the COVID-19 pandemic, as they felt more vulnerable to COVID-19 owing to their condition and their immunosuppressive therapies, including biologics. Many patients also felt disturbed, depressed, and tense when thinking of the infection [28]. To provide solid supports for patients with IBD during the pandemic, further efforts to increase public awareness of the entity are crucial. One example of a successful public health awareness campaign is the annual breast cancer awareness campaign [29], which achieved appropriate identification of targets, early involvement of the key stakeholders such as celebrities with the condition, and utilization of smartphone apps or eHealth platforms even during the current pandemic.

This study's strength is that this is the first hypothesis-generating study to see the extent of public awareness of IBD in the United States and worldwide by using the GT database. Using the open data, we could quantify the current trends of general interest in IBD. However, several limitations need to be addressed. First, owing to the nature of GT, the results of this study only included results from those who had internet access and sought health-related information via Google search. Given the high

internet penetration rates—approximately 90.4% in North America and 60.1% worldwide [30]—and high US Google search market share of approximately 83% [31], GT is considered a good surrogate of public awareness. Second, GTs are proxies for engagement. Sentinel surveillance such as surveys may be needed to clarify the findings. Third, the potential effect on RSVs may lag the intervention by weeks, and it is uncertain how long the effects of the intervention would last, making it challenging to assess the impact of the intervention as RSVs. Fourth, there are confounders such as separate media coverage of the disease, which are difficult to identify and account for the uncertainty about how to attribute to the independent variable of interest. Further, incorporating the analysis of Google search query data of the actual public awareness campaigns (in this case, WID and CCAW) might be a preferred approach to reduce confounding factors and directly evaluate the effects of these health observances to garner an audience. However, the RSVs for WID and CCAW were too few to conduct a joinpoint analysis during the study period (Multimedia Appendix 1). No joinpoints were noted around the time of WID or CCAW throughout the period. Regarding WID, there were spikes in the RSV in the week of WID on May 19 (worldwide search in 2016 to 2020 and the US search in 2018 and 2020). Otherwise, the RSVs were consistently zero. For CCAW, GT could not return queries since there were too few Google searches using the term. Despite these limitations, our approach is interestingly novel to generate hypotheses on campaign effectiveness or ineffectiveness in the public awareness of IBD.

In conclusion, using the GT data as a surrogate, our study posed a possibility that WID and CCAW might not have successfully improved public awareness toward IBD. There is a need to look deeper into how to precisely assess the public awareness and improve public awareness using these health observances based on good examples.

Authors' Contributions

KS, TC, and NK wrote the manuscript. YN proposed the study concept, designed the study, wrote the manuscript, and analyzed the data. HH and TK revised the manuscript critically. FO supervised the research.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Weekly relative search volumes of World Inflammatory Bowel Disease Day and Crohn's and Colitis Awareness Week in 2016-2020.

[PNG File, 71 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

References

1. Kaplan GG. The global burden of IBD: from 2015 to 2025. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015 Dec;12(12):720-727. [doi: [10.1038/nrgastro.2015.150](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2015.150)] [Medline: [26323879](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26323879/)]
2. Ouyang G, Pan G, Liu Q, Wu Y, Liu Z, Lu W, et al. The global, regional, and national burden of pancreatitis in 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *BMC Med* 2020 Dec 10;18(1):388 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/s12916-020-01859-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01859-5)] [Medline: [33298026](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33298026/)]
3. Ye Y, Manne S, Bennett D. Prevalence of Inflammatory Bowel Disease in the U.S. Adult Population: Recent Estimates from Large Population-Based National Databases. *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 2018;113(Supplement):S373-S374. [doi: [10.14309/0000434-201810001-00654](https://doi.org/10.14309/0000434-201810001-00654)]

4. Xu F, Carlson SA, Liu Y, Greenlund KJ. Prevalence of Inflammatory Bowel Disease Among Medicare Fee-For-Service Beneficiaries - United States, 2001-2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021 May 14;70(19):698-701 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.15585/mmwr.mm7019a2](https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7019a2)] [Medline: [33983913](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33983913/)]
5. Ananthakrishnan AN, Bernstein CN, Iliopoulos D, Macpherson A, Neurath MF, Ali RAR, et al. Environmental triggers in IBD: a review of progress and evidence. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018 Jan;15(1):39-49. [doi: [10.1038/nrgastro.2017.136](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2017.136)] [Medline: [29018271](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29018271/)]
6. No authors L. Announcement: World IBD Day - May 19, 2017. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2017 May 19;66(19):516 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.15585/mmwr.mm6619a9](https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6619a9)] [Medline: [28520712](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28520712/)]
7. Take action for world IBD day!. Crohn's & Colitis Foundation. URL: <https://www.crohnscolitisfoundation.org/WorldIBDDay> [accessed 2021-07-20]
8. S. Res. 199 Supporting the goals and ideals of "Crohn's and Colitis Awareness Week". The United States Congress. URL: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/112th-congress/senate-resolution/199/text> [accessed 2021-07-20]
9. Purtle J, Roman LA. Health Awareness Days: Sufficient Evidence to Support the Craze? *Am J Public Health* 2015 Jun;105(6):1061-1065. [doi: [10.2105/ajph.2015.302621](https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2015.302621)]
10. National health observances 2021. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. URL: <https://health.gov/news/category/national-health-observances> [accessed 2021-07-20]
11. Wakefield MA, Loken B, Hornik RC. Use of mass media campaigns to change health behaviour. *The Lancet* 2010 Oct;376(9748):1261-1271. [doi: [10.1016/s0140-6736\(10\)60809-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(10)60809-4)]
12. Nuti SV, Wayda B, Ranasinghe I, Wang S, Dreyer RP, Chen SI, et al. The use of google trends in health care research: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2014;9(10):e109583 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0109583](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109583)] [Medline: [25337815](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25337815/)]
13. Google trends 2021. Google. URL: <https://trends.google.com/trends/> [accessed 2021-07-20]
14. Motosko C, Zakhem G, Ho R, Saadeh P, Hazen A. Using Google to Trend Patient Interest in Botulinum Toxin and Hyaluronic Acid Fillers. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2018 Nov 01;17(11):1245-1246. [Medline: [30500150](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30500150/)]
15. Frauenfeld L, Nann D, Sulyok Z, Feng Y, Sulyok M. Forecasting tuberculosis using diabetes-related google trends data. *Pathog Glob Health* 2020 Jul;114(5):236-241 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1080/20477724.2020.1767854](https://doi.org/10.1080/20477724.2020.1767854)] [Medline: [32453658](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32453658/)]
16. Patel JC, Khurana P, Sharma YK, Kumar B, Ragumani S. Chronic lifestyle diseases display seasonal sensitive comorbid trend in human population evidence from Google Trends. *PLoS One* 2018;13(12):e0207359 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0207359](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207359)] [Medline: [30540756](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30540756/)]
17. Tabuchi T, Fukui K, Gallus S. Tobacco Price Increases and Population Interest in Smoking Cessation in Japan Between 2004 and 2016: A Google Trends Analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2019 Mar 30;21(4):475-480. [doi: [10.1093/ntr/nty020](https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/nty020)] [Medline: [29394419](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29394419/)]
18. Cacciamani GE, Bassi S, Sebben M, Marcer A, Russo GI, Cocci A, et al. Consulting "Dr. Google" for Prostate Cancer Treatment Options: A Contemporary Worldwide Trend Analysis. *Eur Urol Oncol* 2020 Aug;3(4):481-488. [doi: [10.1016/j.euo.2019.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euo.2019.07.002)] [Medline: [31375427](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31375427/)]
19. Havelka E, Mallen C, Shepherd T. Using Google Trends to assess the impact of global public health days on online health information seeking behaviour in Central and South America. *J Glob Health* 2020 Jun;10(1):010403 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.7189/jogh.10.010403](https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.10.010403)] [Medline: [32373327](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32373327/)]
20. Patel JC, Khurana P, Sharma YK, Kumar B, Sugadev R. Google trend analysis of climatic zone based Indian severe seasonal sensitive population. *BMC Public Health* 2020 Mar 12;20(1):306 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1186/s12889-020-8399-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-8399-0)] [Medline: [32164654](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32164654/)]
21. Peng Y, Li C, Rong Y, Chen X, Chen H. Retrospective analysis of the accuracy of predicting the alert level of COVID-19 in 202 countries using Google Trends and machine learning. *J Glob Health* 2020 Dec;10(2):020511 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.7189/jogh.10.020511](https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.10.020511)] [Medline: [33110594](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33110594/)]
22. Russo GI, di Mauro M, Cocci A, Cacciamani G, Cimino S, Serefoglu EC, EAU-YAU Men's Health Working Group. Consulting "Dr Google" for sexual dysfunction: a contemporary worldwide trend analysis. *Int J Impot Res* 2020 Jul;32(4):455-461. [doi: [10.1038/s41443-019-0203-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41443-019-0203-2)] [Medline: [31591474](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31591474/)]
23. Sharma M, Sharma S. The Rising Number of COVID-19 Cases Reflecting Growing Search Trend and Concern of People: A Google Trend Analysis of Eight Major Countries. *J Med Syst* 2020 May 20;44(7):117 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1007/s10916-020-01588-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-020-01588-5)] [Medline: [32430650](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32430650/)]
24. Brodeur A, Clark AE, Fleche S, Powdthavee N. COVID-19, lockdowns and well-being: Evidence from Google Trends. *J Public Econ* 2021 Jan;193:104346 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1016/j.jpubeco.2020.104346](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2020.104346)] [Medline: [33281237](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33281237/)]
25. Zitting K, Lammers-van der Holst HM, Yuan RK, Wang W, Quan SF, Duffy JF. Google Trends reveals increases in internet searches for insomnia during the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) global pandemic. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2021 Feb 01;17(2):177-184. [doi: [10.5664/jcsm.8810](https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.8810)] [Medline: [32975191](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32975191/)]
26. Joinpoint trend analysis software 2021. National Cancer Institute. URL: <https://surveillance.cancer.gov/joinpoint/> [accessed 2021-07-20]
27. Nakase H, Matsumoto T, Matsuura M, Iijima H, Matsuoka K, Ohmiya N, et al. Expert Opinions on the Current Therapeutic Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Japan IBD COVID-19 Taskforce, Intractable

- Diseases, the Health and Labor Sciences Research. Digestion 2021;102(5):814-822 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1159/000510502](https://doi.org/10.1159/000510502)] [Medline: [32892197](#)]
28. Zingone F, Siniscalchi M, Savarino EV, Barberio B, Cingolani L, D'Inca R, et al. Perception of the COVID-19 Pandemic Among Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease in the Time of Telemedicine: Cross-Sectional Questionnaire Study. J Med Internet Res 2020 Nov 02;22(11):e19574 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.2196/19574](https://doi.org/10.2196/19574)] [Medline: [33006945](#)]
 29. Glynn RW, Kelly JC, Coffey N, Sweeney KJ, Kerin MJ. The effect of breast cancer awareness month on internet search activity--a comparison with awareness campaigns for lung and prostate cancer. BMC Cancer 2011 Oct 12;11:442 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1186/1471-2407-11-442](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-11-442)] [Medline: [21993136](#)]
 30. Global internet penetration rate as of April 2021, by region 2021. Statista. URL: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/269329/penetration-rate-of-the-internet-by-region/> [accessed 2021-07-20]
 31. Google: search engine market share in selected countries 2021. Statista. URL: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/220534/googles-share-of-search-market-in-selected-countries/> [accessed 2021-07-20]

Abbreviations

CCAW: Crohn's and Colitis Awareness Week
GT: Google trends
IBD: inflammatory bowel disease
RSV: relative search volume
WID: World IBD Day

Edited by G Eysenbach, R Kukafka; submitted 12.08.21; peer-reviewed by J Trevino; comments to author 02.09.21; revised version received 06.09.21; accepted 26.09.21; published 28.10.21

Please cite as:

Silangcruz K, Nishimura Y, Czech T, Kimura N, Hagiya H, Koyama T, Otsuka F

Impact of the World Inflammatory Bowel Disease Day and Crohn's and Colitis Awareness Week on Population Interest Between 2016 and 2020: Google Trends Analysis

JMIR Infodemiology 2021;1(1):e32856

URL: <https://infodemiology.jmir.org/2021/1/e32856>

doi: [10.2196/32856](https://doi.org/10.2196/32856)

PMID:

©Krixie Silangcruz, Yoshito Nishimura, Torrey Czech, Nobuhiko Kimura, Hideharu Hagiya, Toshihiro Koyama, Fumio Otsuka. Originally published in JMIR Infodemiology (<https://infodemiology.jmir.org>), 28.10.2021. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Infodemiology, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://infodemiology.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.